CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT DANVILLE, VA FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA DANVILLE DIVISION

SEP 2 9 2016

JULIA & DUPLEY, CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case No. 4:08-CR-00040-1

v.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

CARLOS SANTANA MORRIS, Petitioner.

By: Hon. Jackson L. Kiser

Senior United States District Judge

Carlos Santana Morris, a federal inmate proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed a letter that I construe as a motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 in light of <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, ___ U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). Court records indicate that the court already dismissed (Dkt. No. 141) a prior § 2255 motion (Dkt. No. 126). Thus, the § 2255 motion is a second or subsequent motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). <u>Cf. United States v. Hairston</u>, 754 F.3d 258, 262 (4th Cir. 2014).

The court may consider a second or successive § 2255 motion only upon specific certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that a claim in the motion meets certain criteria. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). As Petitioner has not submitted any evidence of having obtained certification from the Court of Appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, the court dismisses the § 2255 motion without prejudice as successive. Based upon the court's finding that Petitioner has not made the requisite substantial showing of denial of a constitutional right as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), a certificate of appealability is denied.¹

ENTER: This day of September, 2016.

enior United States District Judge